Goos-Hänchen and Imbert-Fedorov shifts for paraxial X-Waves

Marco Ornigotti[1,*], Andrea Aiello[2,3] and Claudio Conti[4,5]

[1] *Institute of Applied Physics, Friedrich-Schiller University, Jena, Max-Wien Platz 1, 07743 Jena, Germany*
[2] *Max Planck Institute for the Science of Light, Günther-Scharowsky-Strasse 1/Bau24, 91058 Erlangen,Germany*
[3] *Institute for Optics, Information and Photonics, University of Erlangen-Nuernberg, Staudtstrasse 7/B2, 91058 Erlangen, Germany*
[4] *Institute for Complex Systems (ISC-CNR), Via dei Taurini 19, 00185, Rome, Italy*
[5] *Department of Physics, University Sapienza, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185, Rome, Italy*
[*] *Corresponding author: marco.ornigotti@uni-jena.de*

arXiv:1411.2376v2 [physics.optics] 5 Jan 2015

Compiled January 6, 2015

We present a theoretical analysis for the Goos-Hänchen and Imbert-Fedorov shifts experienced by an X-wave upon reflection from a dielectric interface. We show that the temporal chirp, as well as the bandwidth of the X-wave directly affect the spatial shifts in a way that can be experimentally observed, while the angular shifts do not depend on the spectral features of the X-Wave. A dependence of the spatial shifts on the spatial structure of the X-wave is also discussed. © 2015 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: 240.3695, 260.2110, 260.6042

Nonspecular reflection phenomena, i.e., deviations from the geometrical law of reflection for light beams resulting in an effective beam shift at the interface, represented a growing field of research that produced a lot of literature in the last decade. Among all these effects, the most famous ones are the Goos-Hänchen [1–3] and Imbert-Fedorov [4–14] shifts, the former occurring in the plane of incidence of light, while the latter occurs in the plane orthogonal to the plane of incidence. These phenomena have been extensively studied in the past for a vast category of beam configurations [15–18] and interfaces [19–22]. A comprehensive review on beam shift phenomena can be found in Ref. [23]. Although these effects are typically very small, their magnitude can be significatively enhanced by means of weak measurement-like techniques [24–29]. Very recently, the formalism typically used to calculate these beam shifts has been extended to the non-monochromatic case [30], giving the possibility to study how the spectral features of ultrashort pulses can influence these shifts.

Among the vast class of non-monochromatic solutions of Maxwell's equation, on the other hand, electromagnetic X-waves are particular solutions propagating at a given superluminal velocity $v = c/\cos\beta_0$ without exhibiting diffraction or dispersion, even in the presence of a frequency dependent refractive index [32]. This kind of solutions has been investigated in a variety of different frameworks and within an interdisciplinary perspective, encompassing the originally considered acoustic X-waves [33], to nonlinear optics [34], up to recent proposal in Bose-Einstein condensates [35]. These light-bullets have been also recently investigated within the framework of second quantized Maxwell equations, shading new light on non-monochromatic field quantization [36]. In a more general settlement, X-waves are considered a part of a large family of exact solutions of the time dependent wave equation, which are commonly referred as "localized waves" [32]. In certain respects, X-waves are a paradigmatic example of the way a non-trivial space-time coupling may lead to an interesting propagation-invariant (solitonic-like) behavior, even in vacuum. X-waves are indeed non-monochromatic superpositions of Bessel beams with a fixed conical angle β_0. The fact that the composing Bessel beams do not exhibit diffraction and all have the same phase velocity v in the propagation direction originates the progressive undistorted evolution of the X-waves.

In this Letter, we report on the theoretical investigation of the Goos-Hänchen and Imbert-Fedorov shifts for X-waves. The interaction of X-waves with dielectric interfaces have been recently studied, with particular emphasis on the superluminal tunneling [37], and the occurrence of beam shifts for the case of normal incidence only [38]. Here we derive closed form expressions showing that the temoral chirp, the bandwidth and the angular aperture of the X-waves directly affect the Goos-Hänchen and Imbert-Fedorov shifts, in a way that can be directly experimentally investigated. Furthermore, we show that the shifts are also affected by the order of the X-waves, i.e., by their specific spatial structure, a circumstance that allows to foresee the possibility of using the reflection at an interface as a prism-like effect decomposing the propagation invariant beams in the subset given by the so-called fundamental X-waves. This can be eventually used for encoding quantum information, as it happens for the polarization or for the angular momentum of Laguerre-Gauss beams [39].

Let us start our analysis by considering a paraxial, non-monochromatic electric field that impinges onto a dielectric surface. According to Fig. 1, we can define three cartesian reference frames: one attached to the incident beam ($\{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_i, \hat{\mathbf{z}}_i\}$) one attached to the reflected beam ($\{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_r, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_r, \hat{\mathbf{z}}_r\}$) and the laboratory reference frame $\{\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}, \hat{\mathbf{z}}\}$ attached to the dielectric interface. This last



Fig. 1. Geometry of the problem. $\{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_i, \hat{\mathbf{z}}_i\}$ is the reference frame attached to the incident wave packet, $\{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_r, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_r, \hat{\mathbf{z}}_r\}$ is the reference frame attached to the reflected wave packet and $\{\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}, \hat{\mathbf{z}}\}$ is the laboratory frame. θ is the angle of incidence.

reference frame is chosen in such a way that the z-axis is normal to the interface and it is directed towards the dielectric surface and $\hat{\mathbf{z}}_i = \sin\theta\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \cos\theta\hat{\mathbf{z}}$, being θ the angle of incidence. The electric field in the incident reference frame can be then written by using the Fourier decomposition in plane waves as follows [41]:

$$\mathbf{E}^I(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{2} \int \frac{d\omega d^2K}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \mathcal{A}_\lambda(\mathbf{K},\omega) e^{i(\mathbf{k_i}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)} + \text{c.c.}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{k}_i = k_0(U\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i + V\hat{\mathbf{y}}_i + W\hat{\mathbf{z}}_i) = k_x\hat{\mathbf{x}} + k_y\hat{\mathbf{y}} + k_z\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ (being $k_0 = \omega/c$ the vacuum wave vector), $d^2K = dUdV$, c.c. stands for complex conjugate, λ runs over the polarization degrees of freedom of the field (with $\lambda = 1$ corresponding to p polarization and $\lambda = 2$ to s polarization respectively) and

$$\mathcal{A}_\lambda(\mathbf{K},\omega) = k_0^2 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\lambda(U,V,\theta)\mathcal{E}_\lambda(U,V,\omega). \quad (2)$$

The polarization vectors $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\lambda(\mathbf{k})$ are defined as $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1(\mathbf{k}) = (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \times \mathbf{k})/|\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \times \mathbf{k}|$ and $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2(\mathbf{k}) = (\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \mathbf{k})/|\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \mathbf{k}|$, being $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ a unit vector directed along the laboratory axis z. Note that for the paraxial case one has $k_z = \sqrt{k_0^2 - k_x^2 - k_y^2}$ and therefore $W = \sqrt{1 - U^2 - V^2}$.

The quantities $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(U,V,\omega)$ in Eq. (2) determine the shape and the polarization of the field and can be written as $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(U,V,\omega) = \alpha_\lambda(U,V,\theta)A(U,V,\omega)$, where $A(U,V,\omega)$ is the scalar spectral amplitude of the field and $\alpha_\lambda(U,V,\theta) = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\lambda(U,V,\theta)\cdot\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ is the vector spectral amplitude, that sets the polarization of the field in the local incident frame [13,42]. Here we assume that the field has passed through a polarized, whose orientation is represented by the complex-valued unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{f}} = f_p\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i + f_s\hat{\mathbf{y}}_i$, with $|f_p|^2 + |f_s|^2 = 1$.

Upon reflection each plane wave $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\lambda(\mathbf{k})\exp[i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}]$ transforms according to $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}) \to r_\lambda(\mathbf{k})\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})\exp[i\tilde{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\mathbf{r}]$, where $r_\lambda(\mathbf{k})$ are the Fresnel coefficients for p and s polarization respectively [43] and $\tilde{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k} - 2\hat{\mathbf{z}}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}\cdot\mathbf{k})$ is determined by the law of specular reflection [44]. The electric field in the reflected frame can be then written in a similar form as given by Eq. (1) by substituting $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}) \to \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}) \equiv \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\lambda(-U,V,\pi-\theta)$ and $\mathbf{k}_i \to \mathbf{k}_r = -U\hat{\mathbf{x}} + V\hat{\mathbf{y}} + W\hat{\mathbf{z}}$. The explicit expressions of $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\lambda(U,V,\theta)$, $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})$, $r_\lambda(U,V)$ and $\alpha_\lambda(U,V,\theta)$ for a paraxial beam are given in Ref. [42].

According to the formalism developed in Refs. [30,31], we calculate the first order moment of the intensity distribution of the reflected field (within the paraxial approximation) as

$$\langle\mathbf{X}\rangle = \langle x_r\rangle\hat{\mathbf{x}}_r + \langle y_r\rangle\hat{\mathbf{y}}_r, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\langle\xi\rangle = \frac{\int dt\, d^2R\, \xi\, \mathcal{I}(x_r,y_r,z_r,t)}{\int dt\, d^2R\, \mathcal{I}(x_r,y_r,z_r,t)}, \quad (4)$$

where $\xi = \{x_r, y_r\}$, $d^2R = dx_r dy_r$ and (up to an inessential multiplicative constant) $\mathcal{I}(x_r,y_r,z_r,t) = \mathbf{E}^R(x_r,y_r,z_r,t)\cdot\mathbf{E}^R(x_r,y_r,z_r,t)$, where $\mathbf{E}^R(x_r,y_r,z_r,t)$ is the electric field in the reflected frame and is given by Eq. (1) with the aforementioned substitutions.

Using Eq. (3) it is possible to express both the spatial (Δ) and angular (Θ) Goos-Hänchen and Imbert-Fedorov shifts as

$$\Delta_{GH} = \langle x_r\rangle|_{z=0}, \quad (5a)$$

$$\Theta_{GH} = \frac{\partial\langle x_r\rangle}{\partial z}, \quad (5b)$$

$$\Delta_{IF} = \langle y_r\rangle|_{z=0}, \quad (5c)$$

$$\Theta_{IF} = \frac{\partial\langle y_r\rangle}{\partial z}. \quad (5d)$$

In order to calculate these expressions, however, one needs to know the form of the scalar spectral amplitude $A(U,V,\omega)$ that appears in the definition of $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(U,V,\omega)$. For the case of X-waves, in general, the spectral dependence can be factorized from the spatial dependence, leading to $A(U,V,\omega) = \gamma(\omega)\mathcal{S}(U,V)$. Since X-waves are superpositions of Bessel beams, the term $\mathcal{A}(U,V)$ is essentially the angular spectrum of a monochromatic Bessel beam. By using spherical coordinates for the k-vector components, namely $\{U,V,W\} =$

$\{\sin\beta\cos\phi, \sin\beta\sin\phi, \cos\beta\}$ it is possible to write such an angular spectrum as follows [22, 40]:

$$\mathcal{S}(U,V) \equiv \mathcal{A}(\beta,\phi) = e^{i\left(n\phi - n\frac{\pi}{2}\right)} \frac{\delta(\beta-\beta_0)}{|\sin\beta_0|\cos\beta_0}, \quad (6)$$

where n is the order of the Bessel function that appears in the integral definition of the X-wave and β_0 is the cone aperture of the Bessel beam and the half angle between the orientation of the X-branches [40]. Using then the ansatz $A(U,V,\omega) = \gamma(\omega)\mathcal{S}(U,V)$ and the form of the angular spectrum $\mathcal{S}(U,V)$ as given by Eq. (6), the beam shifts for an X-wave can be written as follows:

$$\Delta_{GH} = \left(\frac{\Omega_N}{\Omega_D}\right)\Delta_{GH}^{(B)}, \quad (7a)$$

$$\Theta_{GH} = \Theta_{GH}^{(B)}, \quad (7b)$$

$$\Delta_{IF} = \left(\frac{\Omega_N}{\Omega_D}\right)\Delta_{IF}^{(B)}, \quad (7c)$$

$$\Theta_{IF} = \Theta_{IF}^{(B)}, \quad (7d)$$

where

$$\Omega_N = \frac{1}{c}\int \omega|\gamma(\omega)|^2 d\omega, \quad (8a)$$

$$\Omega_D = \frac{1}{c^2}\int \omega^2|\gamma(\omega)|^2 d\omega, \quad (8b)$$

and $\Delta_{GH,IF}^{(B)}$ are the *adimensional* spatial shifts of a monochromatic Bessel beam defined as follows [22]:

$$\Delta_{GH}^{(B)} = \left(w_p\frac{\partial\phi_p}{\partial\theta} + w_s\frac{\partial\phi_s}{\partial\theta}\right) - n\frac{w_p a_s^2 - w_s a_p^2}{a_p a_s}\cos\eta\cot\theta, \quad (9a)$$

$$\Delta_{IF}^{(B)} = -\frac{w_p a_s^2 - w_s a_p^2}{a_p a_s}\sin\eta\cot\theta - 2\sqrt{w_p w_s}\sin(\eta-\phi_p-\phi_s)\cot\theta - n\left(w_p\frac{\partial\ln R_p}{\partial\theta} + w_s\frac{\partial\ln R_s}{\partial\theta}\right). \quad (9b)$$

The quantities $\Theta_{GH,IF}^{(B)}$ appearing in Eqs. (7) are the angular Goos-Hänchen and Imbert-Fedorov shifts of a monochromatic Bessel beam, whose explicit expression is, according to Ref. [22], the following:

$$\Theta_{GH}^{(B)} = -\sin^2\beta_0\left(w_p\frac{\partial\ln R_p}{\partial\theta} + w_s\frac{\partial\ln R_s}{\partial\theta}\right), \quad (10a)$$

$$\Theta_{IF}^{(B)} = \sin^2\beta_0\frac{w_p a_s^2 - w_s a_p^2}{a_p a_s}\cos\eta\cot\theta. \quad (10b)$$

In the previous definitions, $w_\lambda = (a_\lambda^2 R_\lambda^2)/(a_p^2 R_p^2 + a_s^2 R_s^2)$ is the fractional energy contained in each polarization and $a_{p,s}$ have been chosen in such a way that $f_p = a_p$ and $f_s = a_s\exp(i\eta)$. We moreover note that the quantity Ω_N/Ω_D has, according to Eqs. (8), the dimension of a length. This is consistent with the fact that the spatial Goos-Hänchen and Imbert-Fedorov shifts for Bessel beams in Eqs. (9) are given as dimensionless quantities.

As can be seen by direct comparison with the results obtained for a monochromatic Bessel beam [22], the non-monochromatic nature of X-waves reflects itself in an extra multiplicative factor in front of the spatial shifts. Thus, depending on the particular form of the X-wave considered, while the angular shifts will not be influenced (and it will be proportional to $\sin^2\beta_0$, i.e., to the superluminal character of the X-wave), different X-waves will experience different spatial shifts.

In order to understand this result, let us consider, for example, the Goos-Hänchen shift, given, according to Eq. (3), by $\langle x_r\rangle$. By partial integration the numerator of Eq. (4) can be tranformed from $\langle x_r\rangle$ to $\langle (ik_0)^{-1}\partial/\partial U\rangle$. When this derivative acts on the reflected electric field, all terms that do not involve the derivative of the exponential term $\exp(ik_0 W z)$ are multiplied by a prefactor $1/k_0$. According to Eq. (2), therefore, the contribution of the X-wave spectrum to the Goos-Hänchen shifts will be an extra multiplicative factor, namely Ω_N. On the other hand, when calculating the derivative of the exponential term $\exp(ik_0 W z)$ with respect to U, an extra k_0-factor is brought down by the derivative itself. This cancels exactly the $(ik_0)^{-1}$ term in $\langle (ik_0)^{-1}\partial/\partial U\rangle$. Therefore, the correspondent multiplicative term (that accounts for X-wave spectrum) associated to the numerator of the z-dependent part of the Goos-Hänchen shift is given by Ω_D. Upon normalization, since the denominator of Eq. (4) contains Ω_D, this term will simplify the correspondent term in front of the z-dependent shift, thus making it unaffected by the non-monochromatic nature of the field here considered. The same explanation is also valid for the Imbert-Fedorov shift.

As an example, we now apply this result to two different classes of X-waves, namely the fundamental X-waves, that posses an exponentially decaying spectrum, and the Bessel-X pulses, whose spectrum is Gaussian. For the former case, the spectral function $\gamma(\omega)$ is given by

$$\gamma(\omega) = (a_0\omega)^m e^{-a_0\omega} e^{-im\frac{\pi}{2}} H(\omega), \quad (11)$$

where a_0 (that has the dimensions of a time) is the pulse duration, m is the order of the X-wave and $H(\omega)$ is the Heaviside step function. The multiplicative factor in the spatial shifts in Eqs. (7) is then given by

$$\frac{\Omega_N}{\Omega_D} = \frac{a_0 c}{1+m}. \quad (12)$$

As a more realistic example, we consider a Bessel-X pulse, whose bounded Gaussian spectrum makes it experimentally reproducible, in contrast with the unbounded spectrum of fundamentals X-wave that gives, as a consequence, waves that carry infinite energy [32]. For a Bessel-X pulse, therefore, the spectral amplitude $\gamma(\omega)$ is given by

$$\gamma(\omega) = \frac{T_0}{\sqrt{2\pi(1+i\xi)}} e^{-q^2(\omega-\omega_0)^2}, \quad (13)$$

where $q^2 = T_0^2/[2(1+i\xi)]$, T_0 is the pulse duration, ξ is the pulse chirp parameter and ω_0 is the central frequency of the Bessel-X pulse. With this choice of spectrum, the factor Ω_N/Ω_D in Eqs. (7) assumes the following from:

$$\frac{\Omega_N}{\Omega_D} = \frac{2cT_0^2\omega_0}{1+\xi^2+2T_0^2\omega_0^2}. \tag{14}$$

The spatial Goos-Hänchen and Imbert-Fedorov shifts can be then controlled by tuning the pulse duration T_0, the pulse chirp ξ and the pulse frequency ω_0.

In conclusion, we have calculated the Goos-Hänchen and Imbert-Fedorov shifts for a paraxial X-wave, showing how the polychromatic nature of these beams affects the shift by introducing a multiplicative factor on the spatial shifts $\Delta_{GH,IF}$ and leaving the angular shifts $\Theta_{GH,IF}$ unchanged. This extra factor is, for the case of fundamental X-waves, directly connected to the X-wave order parameter m and its bandwidth a_0^{-1}. We then suggested to use beam shifts as an active method for analyzing the spectral content of localized fields in terms of fundamental X-waves. Last, but not least, we have also shown how the Goos-Hänchen and Imbert-Fedorov shifts are affected in the case of Bessel-X pulses, showing that the pulse duration of such optical pulses can be used as a tuning parameter to control the beam shifts.

References

1. F. Goos and H. Hänchen, Ann. Phys. **1**, 333 (1947).
2. K. Artmann, Ann. Phys. **2**, 87 (1948).
3. K. W. Chiu and J. J. Quinn, Am. J. Phys. **40**, 1847 (1972).
4. F. I. Fedorov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **105**, 465 (1955).
5. C. Imbert, Phys. Rev. D **5**, 787 (1972).
6. F. Pillon, H. Gilles and S. Girard, Appl. Opt. **43**, 1863 (2004).
7. H. Schilling, Ann. Phys. (Berlin) **16**, 122 (1965).
8. M. A. Player, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **20**, 3667 (1987).
9. V. G. Fedoseyev, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen **21**, 2045 (1988).
10. V. S. Liberman and B. Y. Zel'dovich, Phys. Rev. A **46**, 5199 (1992).
11. K. Y. Bliokh and Y. P. Bliokh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 073903 (2006).
12. K. Y. Bliokh and Y. P. Bliokh, Phys. Rev. E **75**, 066609 (2007).
13. A. Aiello and J. P. Woerdman, Opt. Lett. **33**, 1437 (2008).
14. K. Y. Bliokh, I. V. Shadrivov and Y. S. Kivshar, Opt. Lett. **34**, 389 (2009).
15. P.T. Leung, C. W. Chen and H. -P. Chiang, Opt. Commun. **276**, 206 (2007).
16. M. Merano, A. Aiello, G. W. 't Hooft, M. P. von Exter, E. R. Eliel and J. P. Woerdman, Opt. Expr. **15**, 15928 (2007).
17. T. Tamir, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **3**, 558 (1986).
18. G. D. Landry and T. A. Maldonado, Appl. Opt. **35**, 5870 (1996).
19. S. Kozaki and H. Sakurai, J. Opt. Soc. Am. **68**, 508 (1978).
20. D. Golla and S. Dutta Gupta, arXiv:1011.3968v1.
21. M. Merano, N. Hermosa, J.P. Woerdman and A. Aiello, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 023817 (2010).
22. A. Aiello and J. P. Woerdman, Opt. Lett. **36**, 543 (2010).
23. K. Y. Bliokh and A. Aiello, J. Opt. **15**, 014001 (2013).
24. M. R. Dennis and J. B. Götte, New J. Phys **14**, 073013 (2012).
25. F. Töppel, M. Ornigotti and A. Aiello, New, J. Phys. **15**, 113059 (2013).
26. G. Jayaswal, G. Mistura and M. Merano, Opt. Lett. **38**, 1232 (2013).
27. O. Hosten and P. Kwiat, Science **319**, 787 (2008).
28. Y. Qin, Y. Li, X. Feng, Y. F. Xiao, H. Yong and Q. Gang, Opt. Express **19**, 9636 (2011).
29. Y. Gorodetski, K. Y. Bliokh, B. Stein, C. Genet, N. Shitrit, V. Kleiner, E. Hasman and T. W. Ebbsen, Phys. Rev. Lett **109**, 013901 (2012).
30. M. Ornigotti and A. Aiello, J. Opt. **15**, 014004 (2013).
31. A. Aiello, New J. Phys. **14**, 013058 (2012).
32. H.E.H. Figueroa, M. Zamboni-Rached and E. Recami (editors), *Localized Waves*, Wiley (2008).
33. P. R. Stepanishen and J. Sun, J. Acoust. Soc. Am. **102**, 3308 (1997).
34. C. Conti, S. Trillo, P. Di Trapani, G. Valiulis, A. Piskarskas, O. Jedrkiewicz, and J. Trull, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 170406 (2003).
35. C. Conti and S. Trillo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 120404 (2004).
36. A. Ciattoni and C. Conti, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **24**, 2195 (2004).
37. A. M. Shaaraw and I. M. Besieris, Phys. Rev. E **62**, 7415 (2000).
38. M.A. Salem and H. Bagci, J. Opt. Soc. Am A **29**, 139 (2012).
39. D. L. Andrews and M. Babiker (editors), *The angular momentum of light*, Cambridge (2013).
40. J. Fagerholm, A.T. Friberg, J. Huttunen, D.P. Morgan, and M.M. Salomaa, Phys. Rev. E **54**, 4347 (1996).
41. L. Mandel and E. Wolf, *Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics*, (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1995).
42. A. Aiello and J.P. Woerdman, arXiv:0903.3730 [physics.optics].
43. M. Born and E. Wolf, *Principles of Optics*, 7th Edition (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2003).
44. R. F Gragg, Am. J. Phys. **56**, 1092 (1988).